

## United States Paten and Trademark Office

UNITE:
UNITE:
UNITE:
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/02/2002

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 06/20/2000 William J. Buisman 47004.000054 6182 09/597,297 07/02/2002 21967 7590 **HUNTON & WILLIAMS EXAMINER** INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT WEISBERGER, RICHARD C 1900 K STREET, N.W. **SUITE 1200** ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1109 3624

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		/
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/597,297	BUISMAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Richard C Weisberger	3624
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspond nce address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
2a)  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)  Thi	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.		
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).		
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 1-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1, wherein "executing related processes and providing contingent" workflow" is undefined and unduly vague.

Claim 6 (and subsequent claims), wherein "alters worflow" is undefined.

Claim 7, "based on the priority of the form" is undefined.

Claim 13, wherein "contingent workflow" is undefined.

Claim 18, wherein "intelligent character recognition algorithms" are undefined.

Claim 19 (and subsequent claims), wherein "workflow contigent" is undefined.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

3. Claims 1-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by <a href="https://www.asvsolgrp.com/icr.htm">www.asvsolgrp.com/icr.htm</a>.

The reference teaches each of the claimed limitations. While the reference is dated an "updated February 19, 2000", official notice is taken the teaching

contained therein was known to those skilled in the art more than one year prior to the effective filing date of the instant application.

Rich Weisberger

Senior Business Methods Patent Examiner

AU 3624

Richard.Weisberger@uspto.org

703 308 4408